

DEHSt Deutsche Emissionshandelsstelle

Introduction to basic MRV principles from German Inventory and EU ETS perspective Santiago, Chile – 26 August 2019



Introduction to basic MRV principles from German Inventory and EU ETS perspective

Dr. Jürgen Landgrebe

Head of German Emissions Trading Authority (DEHSt) at the German Environment Agency (UBA)

Outline

- General principles / concepts of Monitoring and Reporting
- Comparison between Inventory and EU ETS
- Inventory and EU ETS: Type of data and data sources
- Why is installation specific monitoring the backbone of ETS?

General concepts of Inventories

- Transparency: Sufficient and clear documentation

 • others can understand how inventory was compiled
- Completeness: Estimates for all relevant categories of sources and sinks and gases
- Consistency: Differences in estimates for different years, gases and categories should reflect real emissions, not be subject to methodological differences
- Comparability: To allow comparison with GHG inventories of other countries
- Accuracy: High level of certainty; uncertainty assessment based on a variety of methods, e.g. expert estimation, mathematical models etc.
- Continuous improvement

General MRV principles in EU ETS

- **Completeness:** All sources covered, no data gaps
- Consistency: To use same monitoring methodologies and data sets over time subject to changes and derogation approved by a Competent Authority (CA)
- **Comparability:** See consistency
- Transparency: To enable the reproduction of the determination of emissions by verifier and CA
- Accuracy: High level of certainty; uncertainty assessment based on evidences by installation operator
- Integrity: Using appropriate monitoring methodologies, no material misstatement, avoid bias, balance accuracy against additional costs
- Continuous improvement

Comparison between Inventory and EU ETS

	Inventory	EU ETS
Scope and thresholds	<u>All sectors</u> (including housholds, transport etc.). <u>No thresholds</u> . Aim: report of country's emissions.	Includes installations from a <u>set of</u> <u>activities</u> when exceeding a certain <u>rated thermal input or capacity</u> .
Reporting obligation	<u>National reporting</u> Reporting obligation rests on each country's commitment to UNFCCC.	Installation level Reporting obligation for operators of installations.
Gases	CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O , HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ and NF ₃ from all sectors.	<u>CO</u> ₂ (several defined activities) <u>N</u> ₂ <u>O</u> (production of nitric acid, adipic acid, glyoxylic acid and glyoxal) <u>PFCs</u> (primary aluminium)
Emission categories	Combustion and process emissions reported separately .	Distinction between combustion and process emissions is not required → distinction is impossible
Timing	Data is annual . Data of year x reported with deadline of <u>15 January year x + 2</u> .	Data is <u>annual</u> . Data of year x reported with deadline of <u>31 March year x + 1</u> .

Comparison of Inventory data and ETS data

Type of data and data sources for Inventory

Common top-down approach

Type of data

- Depending on the contribution of a source category (IPCC sector) to the total inventory emissions
 - <u>Activity data</u>: International or national aggregated statistics, plant-specific data or material-handling models
 - <u>Multiplier</u>: default EF from IPCC Guideline, country specific EF

Data sources

- Energy balance of the national working group "energy balances"
- Statistics of the Federal Statistical Office (Destatis)
- Statistics by associations
- Studies, Research projects
- Plant information, some cases of addition with ETS-Data
- Data is verified in a centralized manner by the
- ^a UNFCCC and by in-country review processes

Type of data and data sources for EU ETS

Bottom-up approach

Type of data

- Generally: usually source stream based
- Depending on size of the installation and the size of the source stream
 - <u>Amount</u>: individually measured by the operator / supplier according to a required maximum uncertainty
 - <u>Calculation factors</u> (e.g. EF, NCV, carbon content)
 - Installations specific data (individually analyzed in a lab)
 - Standard factors

Data sources

- Installation specific <u>annual</u> emission report
- reported emissions are verified by accredited verifiers at the installation level

Cooperation of involved parties in Germany

Cooperation of involved parties in Germany

Concepts of data aggregation

Inventory: CRF-categories (IPCC-sector)

- 1.A.1. Energy
 - 1.A.1.a Public electricity and heat supply
 - 1.A.1.b refineries
 - 1.A.1.c production of solid fuels
 - 1.B.2.c flares
 - ...
 - 1.A.2.g energy use in industry others
 - ...
- 2. Industry processes
 - 2.A.1 Process emissions in cement industry

ETS: Activity on installation level

- Activity 20: Combustion of fuels
 - Energy conversion
 - Engine and turbines
- Activity 21 44: Industry
 - Refineries
 - Coke
 - Pig iron and steal
 - Non-ferrous metals
 - Mineral industry
 - Paper and pulp
 - Chemical industry
 -

Umwelt 🏟 Bundesamt

. . .

1:1 matching of ETS activites to CRF is not possible

Inventory: CRF-categories (IPCC- sector)	ETS: Activity on installation level
 1.A.1. Energy 1.A.1.a Public electricity and heat supply 1.A.1.b refineries 1.A.1.c production of solid fuels 1.B.2.c flares 1.A.2.g energy use in industry - others 2. Industry processes 2.A.1 Process emissions in coment industry 	 Activity 20: Combustion of fuels Energy conversion Engine and turbines Activity 21 - 44: Industry Refineries Coke Pig iron and steal Non-ferrous metals Mineral industry Paper and pulp Chemical industry
13 • ···	Umwelt Bundesamt DEHSt Emissionshandelsstelle

Why is installation specific monitoring the backbone of ETS?

- EU ETS gives flexibility to the operators ...
 ... allows emissions to be cut where cheapest!
- Flexibility ends when <u>actual emissions must be reported</u>
- Monitoring principle: "One tonne CO₂ emitted must be one tonne CO₂ reported!"
- All operators shall <u>surrender</u> allowances on the basis of complete annual Monitoring & Reporting & Verification

Lessons learned in Germany & key elements for a robust MRV

- Legal framework, mandatory data collection, MRV regulation directly binding for operators
- Accreditation: Who verifies the verifier?
- Powerful competent authority with professional scepticism, strict enforcement incl. financial penalties (sanctions)

 \rightarrow to avoid market distortions and to guarantee a level-playing-field!

Use of IT – electronic formats – wherever possible

Mucias gracias!

Dr. Jürgen Landgrebe

E-Mail: <u>emissionstrading@dehst.de</u> Internet: <u>www.dehst.de</u>

